Group Screening Report



The contents of this resource were developed under an agreement from the Federal Department of Education to the Kansas State Department of Education. However, the contents do not necessarily represent the policy of the Department of Education, and endorsement by the Kansas State Department of Education or the Federal Government should not be assumed. Kansas MTSS and Alignment is funded through Part B funds administered by the Kansas State Department of Education's Special Education and Title Services. Keystone Learning Services does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, disability, or age in this program and activities. The following person has been designated to handle inquiries regarding the non-discrimination policies: Keystone Learning Services Executive Director, 500 E. Sunflower Blvd, Ozawkie, KS 66070, 785-876-2214.





Group Screening Report






Group Screening Report

Under "Screening & Problem Identification"


- Shows overall performance for each screening period
- Available for earlyMath, aMath, CBMmath
 Automaticity, CBMmath Process and CBMmath CAP
- Color coding based on benchmarks
 - ★ Above 70th percentile
 - 40th 70th percentile
 - 15th 39th percentile (some risk)
 - 15th percentile & below (high risk)


















Select the particular assessment of focus












Group Screening Report: aMath 2019-20 | FastBridge Training District | Luceno Elementary School | Grade:03 | Teacher: Casey, Connor INTERVAL: COLOR CODING: DEMOGRAPHIC OPTIONS V 2019-2020 : Spring Norms Report's Use: Scores Of Students In Group By Normative Categories: 🚱 → Tier 1: Serves 100% of students with the goal of having 80% or more exceed the Low Risk Benchmark. Set Color Coding to Benchmark so the graphical 63% 63% display (left) indicates the proportion of students in each category. 31% → Tier 2: Serves about 30% of students 19% 13% with evidence-based intervention supplemental to Tier 1 core instruction. Use the table (below) to identify S students below the 30th percentile locally. Spring Percentile Rank III High Risk Some Risk College Pathway 0-19.99 %ile 20-29.99 %ile 30-84.99 %ile N/A student percentile not 85 %ile and Above shown







Report's Use:

- → Tier 1: Serves 100% of students with the goal of having 80% or more exceed the Low Risk Benchmark. Set Color Coding to Benchmark so the graphical display (left) indicates the proportion of students in each category.
- → Tier 2: Serves about 30% of students with evidence-based intervention supplemental to Tier 1 core instruction. Use the table (below) to identify students below the 30th percentile locally.

								Spring Percentile Rank			
Student Name	6	Fall Score	`	Winter Score		Spring Score	7 Group %ile	School %ile	District %ile	National %ile	
Beaudry, AIDAN	1	502		523	!!	509	21	19	19	12	
CORDEIRO, Ezra	11	430		532		533	72	66	66	55	
Farrar, Ricardo	Ţ	515	!!	477	11	449	3	4	4	1	
Fox, Tavion		550		549		550	99	91	91	96	

III High Risk I Some Risk I low risk College Pathway






3

Show All Students			Filter Students
Gender Select All Female Male Ethnicity American Indian or Alaska Native Asian Black or African American Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander White Hispanic/Latino Multi-Racial Other	Native Language ? TYPE LANGUAGE English Proficiency Native English speaker Fluent English speaker Non-English speaking Redesignated as fluent English proficient Limited English proficient/English learner Unknown	Service Code General Ed TITLE I Special Ed IEP Status IEP Reading IEP Math IEP Behavior	Gifted & Talented All Yes No Under Section 504 All Yes No Mobility All Yes No







Tier 1: Serves 100% of students with the goal of having 80% or more exceed the Low Risk Benchmark. Set Color Coding to Benchmark so the graphical


the Low Risk Benchmark. Set Color Coding to Benchmark so the graphical display (left) indicates the proportion of students in each category.

Tier 2: Serves about 30% of students with evidence-based intervention supplemental to Tier 1 core instruction. Use the table (below) to identify students below the 30th percentile locally.

								Spring Percentile Rank			
Student Name	6	Fall Score	`	Winter Score		Spring	7 Group %ile	School %ile	District %ile	National %ile	
Beaudry, AIDAN	!	502		523	!!	509	21	19	19	12	
CORDEIRO, Ezra	11	430		532		533	72	66	66	55	
Farrar, Ricardo	Ţ	515	!!	477	!!	449	3	4	4	1	
Fox, Tavion		550		549		550	99	91	91	96	

III High Risk I Some Risk I low risk College Pathway






								Spring Percentile Rank			
Student Name	6	Fall Score			Spring Score		7 Group %ile	School %ile	District %ile	National %ile	
Beaudry, AIDAN	1	502		523	!!	509	21	19	19	12	
CORDEIRO, Ezra	!!!	430		532		533	72	66	66	55	
Farrar, Ricardo	1	515	11	477	!!	449	3	4	4	1	
Fox, Tavion		550		549		550	99	91	91	96	

III High Risk I Some Risk I low risk College Pathway





Report's Use:


- → Tier 1: Serves 100% of students with the goal of having 80% or more exceed the Low Risk Benchmark. Set Color Coding to Benchmark so the graphical display (left) indicates the proportion of students in each category.
- → Tier 2: Serves about 30% of students with evidence-based intervention supplemental to Tier 1 core instruction. Use the table (below) to identify students below the 30th percentile locally.

								Spring Percentile Rank			
Student Name	6	Fall Score	\	Vinter Score		Spring	Group %ile	School %ile	District %ile	National %ile	
Beaudry, AIDAN	I	502		523	!!	509	21	19	19	12	
CORDEIRO, Ezra	!!	430		532		533	72	66	66	55	
Farrar, Ricardo	1	515	11	477	11	449	3	4	4	1	
Fox, Tavion		550		549		550	99	91	91	96	

III High Risk Some Risk Some Risk College Pathway







Report's Use:

- → Tier 1: Serves 100% of students with the goal of having 80% or more exceed the Low Risk Benchmark. Set Color Coding to Benchmark so the graphical display (left) indicates the proportion of students in each category.
- Tier 2: Serves about 30% of students with evidence-based intervention supplemental to Tier 1 core instruction. Use the table (below) to identify students below the 30th percentile locally.

								Spring Percentile Rank			
Student Name	6	Fall Score	,	Winter Score		Spring Score	7 Group %ile	School %ile	District %ile	National %ile	
Beaudry, AIDAN	Ţ	502		523	!!	509	21	19	19	12	
CORDEIRO, Ezra	!!	430		532		533	72	66	66	55	
Farrar, Ricardo	1	515	!!	477	!!	449	3	4	4	1	
Fox, Tavion		550		549		550	99	91	91	96	

III High Risk III Some Risk III low risk College Pathway





Fall Score	Winter Score
201.89	205.22
204.03	206.29
6.74	6.32
188.02	190.13
216.61	215.53
	201.89 204.03 6.74 188.02



Want more information?







